

2011 House Votes

S.F. 103 / H.F. 201: Taxpayer Funding of Abortion

House votes 1-3 detail the process of a bill to ban taxpayer funded abortion in Minnesota. Authored by Sen. Dave Thompson, R-Lakeville, and Rep. Peggy Scott, R-Andover, the legislation sought to return Minnesota to its pre-1995 policy of only using taxpayer funds to pay for abortions when her life was in danger or if she was a victim of rape or incest. Though the legislation was passed by wide margins in both the House and Senate, it was ultimately vetoed by Gov. Mark Dayton. The language of this bill was also included in an omnibus bill this session (see votes 9 & 10).

House Vote 1

Taxpayer Funding of Abortion

Committee: HHS

Following introduction, this legislation was given its first hearing in the Health and Human Services Reform (HHS) committee. The legislation was passed 13-4 (pro-life win).

House Vote 2

Taxpayer Funding of Abortion

Floor: Passage

Following approval in the HHS committee, H.F. 201 was also approved on a voice vote in the Judiciary Policy and Finance committee before being sent to the floor. Following floor debate, the bill was passed by a strong majority of the House, 80-48 (pro-life win).

House Vote 3

Taxpayer Funding of Abortion

Floor: Repassage

Because the House and Senate passed different versions of the legislation, the bill was sent to conference committee. Upon returning to the House, the conference committee report was passed (voice vote) and the final version of the bill passed 84-48 (pro-life win).

The bill was vetoed by Gov. Mark Dayton.

H.F. 936 / S.F. 649: Pain Capable Unborn Child Protection Act

Authored by Rep. Mary Liz Holberg, R-Lakeville, and Sen. Gretchen Hoffman, R-Vergas, the Pain Capable Unborn Child Protection Act would prohibit abortion at the point that the unborn child can feel pain, specified as occurring at 20 weeks after conception. Though the legislation was also

incorporated into other legislation (votes 9 & 10), House votes 4-8 follow the stand-alone bill which was ultimately vetoed by Gov. Mark Dayton.

House Vote 4 **Pain Capable Unborn Child**

Committee: Civil Law

The Pain Capable Unborn Child Protection Act received its first hearing in the Health and Human Services Reform committee where it passed on a voice vote. Following that hearing, it was heard in the Civil Law committee. The bill passed 9-7 (pro-life win).

House Vote 5 **Pain Capable Unborn Child**

Floor: Amendment

When the bill came to the floor in the House, a technical amendment was needed. Offered by the bill's author, Rep. Mary Liz Holberg, the amendment passed 82-44 (pro-life win).

House Vote 6 **Pain Capable Unborn Child**

Floor: Amendment

During debate of the bill, Rep. Phyllis Kahn, DFL-Minneapolis, offered an amendment which would have allowed a pain-capable unborn child to be aborted if there was a determination that the child had "abnormalities that are incompatible with life." After hours of moving debate, the amendment failed 46-81 (pro-life win).

House Vote 7 **Pain Capable Unborn Child**

Floor: Passage

After only two amendments, but hours of debate, the House passed the Pain Capable Unborn Child Protection Act 82-46 (pro-life win).

House Vote 8 **Pain Capable Unborn Child**

Floor: Repassage

After the bill passed the Senate, it went to a conference committee to work out the minor differences between the House and Senate versions. After adopting the conference committee report (voice vote), the bill was repassed 84-48 (pro-life win).

Following repassage, the bill was vetoed by Gov. Mark Dayton.

H.F. 1020: HHS omnibus policy bill

The Health and Human Services Reform committee (HHS) created an HHS policy omnibus bill in committee. While this bill never came before the full House for a vote prior to the end of the 2011 legislative session, it did make its way through committee where it was amended to include a pro-life ban on taxpayer funded abortion and the Pain Capable Unborn Child Protection Act. House votes 9-10 reflect these actions.

House Vote 9

Pain Capable Unborn Child

Committee: HHS Reform

Rep. Tara Mack, R-Apple Valley, offered the language from H.F. 936, the Pain Capable Unborn Child Protection Act, to the HHS omnibus policy bill. The amendment passed 12-5 (pro-life win).

House Vote 10

Taxpayer Funding of Abortion

Committee: HHS Reform

Rep. Kathy Lohmer, R-Lake Elmo, offered an amendment to add the language of H.F. 201 to the omnibus bill. The amendment passed 12-5 (pro-life win).

H.F. 998 / S.F. 695, H.F. 1101 / S.F. 924: Human Cloning Ban, Cloning Funding Ban

In 2009, the Minnesota Legislature passed a two-year prohibition on using state funds for human cloning and human cloning research. The ban was effective from July 1, 2009, until June 30, 2011. In anticipation of the ban's expiration, MCCL urged lawmakers to do everything possible to ensure that the state would not go backwards and start providing taxpayer dollars for human cloning research after the initial prohibition was set to expire.

One means of doing this was H.F. 998 / S.F. 695, a bill which would have completely banned human cloning and human cloning research. Authored by Rep. Bob Dettmer, R-Forest Lake, H.F. 998 received a hearing in the Judiciary Policy and Finance committee where it passed on a voice vote. Although it faced strong opposition by Mayo Clinic and especially the University of Minnesota, the language of the bill was also included in the regular session Health and Human Services omnibus finance bill (House vote 17). This bill was ultimately vetoed by Gov. Mark Dayton.

Alternative language which sought to codify the 2009 language prohibiting state funds from being used to pay for human cloning and human cloning research was also moving through the legislative process as part of the regular session Higher Education omnibus bill (H.F. 1101). House votes 11-16 detail this process.

House Vote 11

Human Cloning Funding Ban

Floor: Procedure

When the Higher Education omnibus bill came to the floor in the House, Rep. King Banaian, R-St. Cloud, offered an amendment which would have prohibited taxpayer funding of human cloning and human cloning research. Rep. Phyllis Kahn questioned the germaneness of the amendment. After it was ruled that her point of order was not well taken, she appealed the ruling of the Speaker. The Speaker's ruling was upheld 79-48 (pro-life win).

House Vote 12

Human Cloning Funding Ban

Floor: Procedure

During discussion of the Banaian amendment, Rep. Paul Thissen, DFL-Minneapolis, offered an amendment to the Banaian amendment. Rep. Joyce Peppin, R-Rogers, raised a point of order asking for the amendment to be ruled out of order. It was, but Rep. Thissen questioned the ruling of the Speaker. The body then voted to uphold the ruling of the Speaker 80-49 (pro-life win).

House Vote 13

Human Cloning Funding Ban

Floor: Amendment to amendment

Rep. Kim Norton, DFL-Rochester, offered an amendment to the Banaian amendment that would have only prohibited taxpayer funding of human cloning if it were to be done for the purpose of reproductive cloning. This gutting amendment would have defeated the purpose of the Banaian amendment in protecting human life, thus was opposed by MCCL. The Norton amendment to the Banaian amendment was defeated 50-79 (pro-life win).

House Vote 14

Human Cloning Funding Ban

Floor: Amendment

After much debate, the House voted to add the Banaian amendment prohibiting taxpayer funds from being used for human cloning and human cloning research to the Higher Education omnibus bill. The amendment passed 81-48 (pro-life win).

House Vote 15

Human Cloning Funding Ban

Floor: Passage

Following the addition of the Banaian amendment, MCCL urged lawmakers to sup-

port the Higher Education omnibus bill in order to continue the existing ban on taxpayer funding of human cloning. The bill passed 69-60 (pro-life win).

House Vote 16 Human Cloning Funding Ban

Floor: Repassage

The House and Senate passed different versions of the Higher Education omnibus bill, so the bill was sent to conference committee. No significant change was made to the cloning funding prohibition in conference committee, thus MCCL supported repassage of the Higher Education omnibus bill. The bill passed 69-57 (pro-life win).

S.F. 760: Health and Human Services omnibus finance bill

When initially passed by the House, the Health and Human Services (HHS) omnibus finance bill did not contain any pro-life provisions. House members instead opted to work on an HHS omnibus policy bill where they included pro-life language (House votes 9-10). However, as the regular legislative session was winding down, it became clear that the policy bill would not be moving forward. Therefore, in the conference committee for the HHS finance bill, the House accepted all of the pro-life language that the Senate had put into the bill. Among these provisions was a ban on human cloning. This bill was vetoed by Gov. Mark Dayton.

House Vote 17 Various (HHS omnibus)

Floor: Repassage

MCCL supported repassage of the HHS omnibus finance bill following adoption of

Representative	Dist #	% #1	% #2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Abeler, Jim	48B	76%	93%	x	e	x	-	x	x	x	x
Anderson, Bruce	19A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Anderson, Diane	38A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Anderson, Paul	13A	79%	92%	-	x	e	-	x	x	x	e
Anderson, Sarah	43A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Anzelc, Tom	3A	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Atkins, Joe	39B	36%	63%	-	o	o	-	x	x	x	x
Banaian, King	15B	79%	79%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Barrett, Bob	17B	94%	94%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Beard, Michael	35A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Benson, John	43B	7%	11%	-	e	o	-	e	e	e	o
Benson, Mike	30B	94%	94%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Bills, Kurt	37B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x

the conference committee report because of the various pro-life provisions it included. The bill passed 69-63 (pro-life win).

H.F. 4: Special Session

With brand new Republican majorities in the House and Senate, a new DFL governor and a multi-billion-dollar deficit, the regular legislative session ended without an agreement between the Legislature and Gov. Dayton. Minnesota’s government went into a shutdown on July 1 that lasted 20 days. Near the end of that time, an agreement was reached between Gov. Dayton, Speaker Kurt Zellers and Senate Majority Leader Amy Koch.

Part of the deal was to exclude any pro-life legislation from the final bills. This encompassed not only the ban on taxpayer funded abortion and the Pain Capable Unborn Child Protection Act, but also any efforts to continue the existing prohibition on taxpayer funding of human cloning and human cloning research. With the expiration of that policy on June 30, 2011, Minnesotans saw the first rollback of a pro-life provision (not due to court order) ever.

House Vote 18 Human Cloning Funding Ban

Floor: Passage

Because Minnesotans would be losing a pro-life law under the agreement between Dayton and legislative leadership, MCCL urged legislators to vote against the Higher Education omnibus bill to force leadership to make a new agreement that would include a continuation of the prohibition on using taxpayer funds for human cloning and human cloning research. Against the objection of MCCL, the Higher Education omnibus bill passed 71-57 (pro-life loss).

life was in danger or if she was a victim of rape or incest. Though the legislation was passed by wide margins in both the House and Senate it was ultimately vetoed by Gov. Mark Dayton.

Services (HHS) committee where it passed on a voice vote. Next, the bill was

Representative	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Abeler, Jim	n	n	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Anderson, Bruce	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Anderson, Diane	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Anderson, Paul	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Anderson, Sarah	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Anzelc, Tom	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Atkins, Joe	-	-	e	e	e	e	e	e	o	x
Banaian, King	-	-	x	x	x	x	o	o	x	o
Barrett, Bob	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Beard, Michael	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Benson, John	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	e	o	x
Benson, Mike	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Bills, Kurt	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o

Representative	Dist #	% #1	% #2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Brynaert, Kathy	23B	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Buesgens, Mark	35B	86%	92%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Carlson, Lyndon	45B	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Champion, Bobby Joe	58B	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Clark, Karen	61A	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Cornish, Tony	24B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Crawford, Roger	8B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Daudt, Kurt	17A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Davids, Greg	31B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Davnie, Jim	62A	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Dean, Matt	52B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Dettmer, Bob	52A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Dill, David	6A	50%	70%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Dittrich, Denise	47A	43%	43%	-	x	x	-	0	0	x	x
Doepke, Connie	33B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Downey, Keith	41A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Drazkowski, Steve	28B	93%	93%	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Eken, Kent	2A	79%	79%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Erickson, Sondra	16A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Fabian, Dan	1A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Falk, Andrew	20A	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Franson, Mary	11B	94%	94%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Fritz, Patti	26B	82%	88%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Garofalo, Pat	36B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Gauthier, Kerry	7B	14%	14%	-	0	0	-	x	0	0	0
Gottwalt, Steve	15A	94%	94%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Greene, Marion	60A	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Greiling, Mindy	54A	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Gruenhagen, Glenn	25A	94%	94%	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Gunther, Bob	24A	64%	90%	-	e	x	-	e	e	e	x
Hackbarth, Tom	48A	86%	86%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Hamilton, Rod	22B	86%	92%	-	e	x	-	x	x	x	x
Hancock, David	2B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Hansen, Rick	39A	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Hausman, Alice	66B	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Hayden, Jeff	61B	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Hilstrom, Debra	46B	7%	7%	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hilty, Bill	8A	7%	7%	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Holberg, Mary Liz	36A	93%	93%	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Hoppe, Joe	34B	87%	93%	-	x	x	n	x	x	x	x
Hornstein, Frank	60B	7%	7%	-	0	0	-	0	0	0	0
Hortman, Melissa	47B	7%	7%	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hosch, Larry	14B	76%	87%	e	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Howes, Larry	4B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x

Representative	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Brynaert, Kathy	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Buesgens, Mark	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	0	e
Carlson, Lyndon	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Champion, Bobby Joe	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Clark, Karen	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Cornish, Tony	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Crawford, Roger	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Daudt, Kurt	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Davids, Greg	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Davnie, Jim	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Dean, Matt	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Dettmer, Bob	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Dill, David	-	-	e	e	e	e	0	0	0	x
Dittrich, Denise	-	-	0	0	0	x	0	0	0	x
Doepke, Connie	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Downey, Keith	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Drazkowski, Steve	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Eken, Kent	-	-	x	x	x	x	0	0	0	x
Erickson, Sondra	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Fabian, Dan	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Falk, Andrew	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Franson, Mary	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Fritz, Patti	x	x	x	x	x	x	0	0	e	x
Garofalo, Pat	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Gauthier, Kerry	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Gottwalt, Steve	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Greene, Marion	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Greiling, Mindy	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Gruenhagen, Glenn	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Gunther, Bob	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Hackbarth, Tom	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	0	0
Hamilton, Rod	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Hancock, David	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Hansen, Rick	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Hausman, Alice	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Hayden, Jeff	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Hilstrom, Debra	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Hilty, Bill	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Holberg, Mary Liz	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Hoppe, Joe	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Hornstein, Frank	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Hortman, Melissa	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Hosch, Larry	x	x	x	x	x	x	e	0	0	x
Howes, Larry	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0

Representative	Dist #	% #1	% #2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Huntley, Thomas	7A	6%	8%	n	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Johnson, Sheldon	67B	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Kahn, Phyllis	59B	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Kath, Kory	26A	71%	71%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Kelly, Tim	28A	86%	92%	-	e	x	-	x	x	x	x
Kieffer, Andrea	56B	57%	80%	-	e	x	-	e	e	e	x
Kiel, Debra	1B	79%	79%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Kiffmeyer, Mary	16B	94%	94%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Knuth, Kate	50B	7%	8%	-	o	e	-	o	o	o	e
Koenen, Lyle	20B	79%	79%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Kriesel, John	57A	86%	92%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Laine, Carolyn	50A	7%	17%	-	o	o	-	e	o	o	o
Lanning, Morrie	9A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Leidiger, Ernie	34A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
LeMieur, Mike	12B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Lenczewski, Ann	40B	79%	79%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Lesch, John	66A	0%	0%	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
Liebling, Tina	30A	6%	6%	o	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Lillie, Leon	55A	14%	14%	-	o	o	-	o	x	o	o
Loeffler, Diane	59A	6%	6%	o	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Lohmer, Kathy	56A	94%	94%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Loon, Jenifer	42B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Mack, Tara	37A	94%	94%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Mahoney, Tim	67A	0%	0%	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
Mariani, Carlos	65B	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Marquart, Paul	9B	79%	79%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Mazorol, Pat	41B	93%	93%	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
McDonald, Joe	19B	82%	93%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
McElfatrick, Carolyn	3B	94%	94%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
McFarlane, Carol	53B	86%	86%	-	x	x	-	x	o	x	x
McNamara, Denny	57B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Melin, Carly	5B	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Moran, Rena	65A	6%	6%	o	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Morrow, Terry	23A	21%	21%	-	x	x	-	o	o	o	o
Mullery, Joe	58A	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Murdock, Mark	10B	57%	89%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Murphy, Erin	64A	6%	6%	o	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Murphy, Mary	6B	79%	79%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Murray, Rich	27A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Myhra, Pam	40A	86%	86%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Nelson, Michael	46A	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Nornes, Bud	10A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Norton, Kim	29B	6%	7%	n	e	o	-	o	o	o	o
O'Driscoll, Tim	14A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x

Representative	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Huntley, Thomas	n	n	e	o	o	o	o	e	o	x
Johnson, Sheldon	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Kahn, Phyllis	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Kath, Kory	-	-	x	o	x	x	o	o	o	x
Kelly, Tim	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Kieffer, Andrea	-	-	x	x	o	x	x	x	x	o
Kiel, Debra	-	-	x	x	x	x	o	o	x	o
Kiffmeyer, Mary	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Knuth, Kate	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Koenen, Lyle	-	-	x	x	x	x	o	o	o	x
Kriesel, John	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	e	x	o
Laine, Carolyn	-	-	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	x
Lanning, Morrie	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Leidiger, Ernie	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
LeMieur, Mike	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Lenczewski, Ann	-	-	x	x	x	x	o	o	o	x
Lesch, John	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	e	o	e
Liebling, Tina	o	o	o	x	o	o	o	o	o	e
Lillie, Leon	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Loeffler, Diane	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Lohmer, Kathy	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Loon, Jenifer	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Mack, Tara	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Mahoney, Tim	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	e
Mariani, Carlos	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Marquart, Paul	-	-	x	x	x	x	o	o	o	x
Mazorol, Pat	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
McDonald, Joe	e	e	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
McElfatrick, Carolyn	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
McFarlane, Carol	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
McNamara, Denny	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Melin, Carly	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Moran, Rena	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Morrow, Terry	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Mullery, Joe	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Murdock, Mark	-	-	e	e	e	e	e	x	x	o
Murphy, Erin	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Murphy, Mary	-	-	x	x	x	x	o	o	o	x
Murray, Rich	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Myhra, Pam	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	o	o
Nelson, Michael	-	-	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
Nornes, Bud	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o
Norton, Kim	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	o	x
O'Driscoll, Tim	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	o

Representative	Dist #	% #1	% #2	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Paymar, Michael	64B	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Pelowski, Gene	31A	79%	79%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Peppin, Joyce	32A	73%	92%	-	x	x	x	e	e	e	x
Persell, John	4A	7%	8%	-	o	o	-	o	n	o	o
Petersen, Branden	49B	86%	92%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Peterson, Sandra	45A	6%	7%	n	o	o	o	o	o	o	o
Poppe, Jeanne	27B	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Quam, Duane	29A	94%	94%	x	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Rukavina, Tom	5A	0%	0%	-	e	o	-	e	e	e	o
Runbeck, Linda	53A	93%	93%	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Sanders, Tim	51A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Scalze, Bev	54B	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Schomacker, Joe	22A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Scott, Peggy	49A	93%	93%	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Shimanski, Ron	18A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Simon, Steve	44A	7%	7%	-	e	o	o	o	o	o	o
Slawik, Nora	55B	7%	8%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Slocum, Linda	63B	7%	8%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Smith, Steve	33A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Stensrud, Kirk	42A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Swedzinski, Chris	21A	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Thissen, Paul	63A	7%	8%	-	o	o	-	n	o	o	o
Tillberry, Tom	51B	0%	0%	-	e	o	-	e	e	e	o
Torkelson, Paul	21B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Urdahl, Dean	18B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Vogel, Bruce	13B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Wagenius, Jean	62B	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Ward, John	12A	50%	78%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Wardlow, Doug	38B	93%	93%	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Westrom, Torrey	11A	93%	93%	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x
Winkler, Ryan	44B	7%	7%	-	o	o	-	o	o	o	o
Woodard, Kelby	25B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x
Zellers, Kurt	32B	93%	93%	-	x	x	-	x	x	x	x

Representative	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18
Paymar, Michael	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Pelowski, Gene	-	-	x	x	x	x	0	0	0	x
Peppin, Joyce	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Persell, John	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Petersen, Branden	-	-	n	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Peterson, Sandra	n	n	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Poppe, Jeanne	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Quam, Duane	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Rukavina, Tom	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	e
Runbeck, Linda	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Sanders, Tim	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Scalze, Bev	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Schomacker, Joe	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Scott, Peggy	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Shimanski, Ron	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Simon, Steve	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Slawik, Nora	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	e	0	x
Slocum, Linda	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	n	0	x
Smith, Steve	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Stensrud, Kirk	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Swedzinski, Chris	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Thissen, Paul	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Tillberry, Tom	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	e
Torkelson, Paul	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Urdahl, Dean	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Vogel, Bruce	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Wagenius, Jean	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Ward, John	-	-	e	e	e	e	e	0	0	x
Wardlow, Doug	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Westrom, Torrey	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Winkler, Ryan	-	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	x
Woodard, Kelby	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0
Zellers, Kurt	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	0